

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,253	08/06/2003	Chul-Sung Park	8836-202 (ID12076-US)	9451
22150 75	590 03/22/2005		EXAM	NER
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			NGUYEN, MINH T	
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	<u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Mi			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	71/			
		10/635,253	PARK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Minh Nguyen	2816				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 December 2004</u> .						
	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
ו	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/24/04</u> .		ate datent Application (PTO-152)				
C Data d and T	adomati Office						

Har

Application/Control Number: 10/635,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/17/04 has been received and entered in the case.

Claims 1-7 and 11-19 are pending. The amendment and argument presented therein overcome the informality objection noted in the previous Office action, therefore, is withdrawn. However, the indefiniteness rejection and prior art rejection are not overcome by the arguments, and therefore, are maintained for the reasons set forth below. This action is FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 9/24/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Enclosed in this Office action is a signed copy of PTO-1449 with items which are not considered being crossed-out.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 1-7 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, the phrase "a direct current voltage signal" recited on line 2 is confusing, i.e., it is unclear if it is referring to a current signal or a voltage signal. If it is referring to a direct current signal, it is suggested that the phrase is changed to — a direct current signal —. If it is referring to a direct voltage signal, it is suggested the phrase is changed to — a direct voltage signal —. The phrase "an alternating current voltage signal" recited on line 3 is also confusing, i.e., it is unclear if it is referring to a current signal or a voltage signal.

As per claims 2-7 and 11-15, these claims are rejected because of the indefiniteness of claim 1.

As per claims 16 and 17, the same problem exists in each claim as discussed in claim 1.

As per claims 18-19, these claims are rejected because of the indefiniteness of claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,993,984, issued to Penrod.

As per claim 1, Penrod discloses an integrated circuit device (Fig. 1) comprising:

Art Unit: 2816

a pin (the pin where S1 is connected) for receiving a direct current voltage signal (the DC component of the signal on the AC line);

a signal source (the source which generates the signal on the AC LINE 10) for applying an alternating current voltage signal (column 2, line 27-28, i.e., alternating current power line 10) to the pin;

a buffer (15) for converting the alternating current voltage signal into a digital signal (column 2, lines 40-42); and

a digital detector (comparator 35, digital because the input signal from the counter 25 to the comparator is digital) for detecting a frequency of the digital signal (column 2, lines 62-66) and outputting a predetermined detection signal (the signal on line 37, also see column 2, lines 62-66).

As per claim 2, the recited limitation is described in column 2, lines 62-66.

As per claim 4, the recitation is merely an intended use of the predetermined detection signal. It is clear that the Penrod's predetermined detection signal on line 37 is capable of being used for setting predetermined functional modes.

As per claim 14, this claim is rejected for the same reasons noted in claim 2.

5. Claims 1-2, 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,468,796, issued to Suga.

As per claim 1, Suga discloses an integrated circuit device (Fig. 1) comprising:

a pin (the input terminal of the BF circuit) for receiving a direct current voltage signal
(the DC component of the PT signal);

Art Unit: 2816

a signal source (the source which generates the signal PT INPUT, i.e., the potential transformer PT, column 1, line 39) for applying an alternating current voltage signal (column 1, line 38, i.e., the sine wave) to the pin;

a buffer (BF) for converting the alternating current voltage signal into a digital signal (column 1, lines 39-40); and

a digital detector (comparator COM, digital because the input signal from the pulse counter C to the comparator is digital) for detecting a frequency of the digital signal (column 2, lines 62-66) and outputting a predetermined detection signal (the signal T, see column 2, lines 27-30).

As per claim 2, the recited limitation is described in column 2, lines 27-30.

As per claim 4, the recitation is merely an intended use of the predetermined detection signal. It is clear that the Suga's predetermined detection signal T is capable of being used for setting predetermined functional modes.

As per claim 14, this claim is rejected for the same reasons noted in claim 2.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the argument that the phrase "direct current voltage signal" means a voltage signal applied to a pin may be one of a direct current or an alternating current.

The response is not found persuasive because when the word "direct" is used, it can not be interpreted as either "direct" or "alternating". As the applicant is well aware, a DC current is

Art Unit: 2816

different and distinct from an AC current. Further, the applicant has not explained the examiner's concerns raised in the previous rejection, i.e., it is unclear if it is referring to a current signal or a voltage signal.

Regarding the argument Penrod does not teach applying an alternating current to a pin for receiving a direct current voltage signal.

As noted in the preceding rejection, column 2, lines 27-28 of Penrod explicitly discloses that the pin receives the alternating current on the AC LINE. The pin further receives a direct current voltage signal which is the DC component of the alternating current on the AC LINE.

Regarding the argument Suga does not teach applying an alternating current to a pin for receiving a direct current voltage signal.

As noted in the preceding rejection, column 1, lines 38-39 of Suga explicitly discloses that the pin receives the alternating current, i.e., sine wave. The pin further receives a direct current voltage signal which is the DC component of the alternating current.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 5-7, 11-13 and 15-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3, 5-7, 11-13 and 15 are allowable for the reasons noted in the previous Office action.

Claims 16-19 are allowable for the reasons noted in claim 5 or 11.

Art Unit: 2816

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is **571-272-1748**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2816

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/17/05

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816